

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/810,475                                | 03/26/2004         | Michael W. Frauens   | H10317/DPS              | H10317/DPS 9797       |  |
| 1333                                      | 7590 05/11/200     | 5                    | EXAMINER                |                       |  |
| PATENT L                                  | PATENT LEGAL STAFF |                      |                         | LABOMBARD, RUTH NAOMI |  |
| EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY<br>343 STATE STREET |                    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER          |  |
|   | ER, NY 14650-2201  |                      | 2852                    |                       |  |
|   |                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006 |                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/810,475   | FRAUENS ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| •   | Ruth N. LaBombard  | 2852   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE! | J.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M   | <u>arch 2004</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 10/810,475

Art Unit: 2852

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-30, drawn to a toner applicator, classified in class 399, subclass ١.

49.

Claims 31-44, drawn to a method for process control in a printer, classified 11.

in class 399, subclass 49.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can 2.

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process

for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different

product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a

color image forming apparatus.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given 3.

above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant elect the apparatus claims of invention I, then election of 4.

species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct 5.

species:

Species I: Figure 1

Application/Control Number: 10/810,475

Art Unit: 2852

Species II: Figure 2

Species III: Figure 3

Species IV: Figure 4

Species V: Figure 5

Species VI: Figure 6A

Species VII: Figure 6B

Species VIII: Figure 6C

Species IX: Figure 6D

Species X: Figure 7

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,475

Art Unit: 2852

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth N. LaBombard whose telephone number is (571) 272-6430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RNL 5/1/06

DAVID M. GRAY RIMARY EXAMINER